

ಡಾ. ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. — ಹೆಚ್ ಬಾರದ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗುರ್. — ನಿತ್ಯವರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದ್ದರೆ ತಿದ್ದುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಕಾಗಾದರೆ ನತ್ತವರು ಹನಿಸಬಂತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಡಾ. ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. — ನಾನು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಲ್ಪಾ. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪಿದ್ದರೆ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

REVISION OF PAY SCALES OF THE POSTS OF DEPUTY PANCHAYAT OFFICER, REVENUE OFFICER AND ACCOUNTANT OF THE MADRAS-KARNATAK IN SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT.

\*Q.—326. Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the scales of pay of the following posts at the time of Re-organisation of States:—

- (1) Deputy Panchayat Officers (South Kanara District)
- (2) Revenue Officer (Mangalore Municipality)
- (3) Accountant (Mangalore Municipality)

(b) whether any revision in the scales of pay of the above posts have been effected after the Re-organisation of States; and if so, what are the revised scales of pay?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government).—

- (a) (1) Rs. 100-5-150
- (2) Rs. 90-5-105-3-120
- (3) Rs. 90-5-105-3-120

(b) Yes.

Deputy Panchayat Officers (South Kanara): Rs. 120-6-150-10-220.

Revenue Officer (Mangalore Municipality): Rs. 150-10-250.

Accountant (Mangalore Municipality): Rs. 150-10-250\*

\*As personal to existing incumbent who held the post on 1st January 1957 or on the date of giving effect to the revised scale. In respect of others, the scale of Rs. 75-5-90-6-150-10-180 sanctioned will hold good.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.— In the answer I find that the Revenue Officer of Mangalore Municipality was having a lower scale of pay than that of the Deputy Panchayat Officers before reorganisation, but, subsequently, their scale of pay have been revised and better scale is given than the Deputy Panchayat Officers. May I know the reason?

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—One is the Government and the other two are Municipal servants. So far as the Accountant is concerned, it is only personal to him and others who came after him will get the lower pay.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—Why that speciality in the case of this person?

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—Sir, the salary is fixed in a lower cadre but the man who was going there was already getting more and so, this is made personal to him.

**Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.**—May I know the scale recommended by the Divisional Commissioner with regard to these three categories?

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—For the Mangalore Accountant 150-10-250; Revenue Officer of Mangalore Municipality 150-10-250 and for the Deputy Panchayat Officer he recommended 180-10-250.

**Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.**—May I know why the recommendations of the Divisional Commissioner was accepted in the case of Revenue Officer and Accountant and rejected in the case of Deputy Panchayat Officer?

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—Sir, we have not accepted with regard to Revenue Officer because we have accepted the lower scale of pay for the Revenue Officer. With regard to Panchayat Officer we thought it fit that it must be uniform throughout the State because they will have to be taken over from the present position and deputed somewhere else.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸುಭಾಯ್ ನಾಯಕ್.**—ಈ ರೇತಿಯಾಗಿ ಮುಕ್ಕುತ್ತಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಕ್ಕಿಷ್ಟುತ್ತೆ ಇನ್ನು ಇತ್ತು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಐ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.**—ಅಗರೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀನೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸುಭಾಯ್ ನಾಯಕ್.**—ರೆಬಿನ್ಸ್ ಅಫೀಸರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ಷೇಂಡನ್ಸ್ ರೈಟ್ ಒಂಟಂಕಾಯಿತ ಅಫೀಸರ್ ಆಗಿ ನೇಮುನ್ತ್ತೀರಾ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಐ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.**—ಅಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ತನೆರೆಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.**—While revising the pay published recently, the pay scale of the Deputy panchayat Officers is neither mentioned nor revised. Why?

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—It was omitted because it was not considered at that time. Subsequently, we have considered and we have fixed the pay.

#### PROVINCIALISATION OF THE SERVICES OF THE SANITARY INSPECTORS OF LOCAL BODIES IN THE INTEGRATED AREAS.

\*Q.—346. **Sri R. NAGAN GOWDA (Hospet).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether the Mysore State Health Inspectors' Conference held in October 1960 in Mysore resolved to request the Government of